arXiv:1607.06747v1 [math.SP] 22 Jul 2016

ON λ-COMMUTING OPERATORS

A. TAJMOUATI, A. EL BAKKALI AND M.B. MOHAMED AHMED

Abstract. In this paper, we study the operator equation $AB = \lambda BA$ for a bounded operator A, B on a complex Hilbert space. We focus on algebraic relations between different operators that include normal, M-hyponormal, quasi $*$-paranormal and other classes.

1. Introduction

Throughout, we will denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the complex Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Recall that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be :

- positive if $< Tx, x > \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$
- self-adjoint if $T = T^*$
- isometry if $T^*T = I$, which equivalent to the condition $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$
- normal if $T^*T = TT^*$
- unitary $T^*T = TT^* = I$ (i.e T is an onto isometry)
- quasinormal if $T[T^*T] = [T^*T]T$
- binormal if $[T^*T][TT^*] = [TT^*][T^*T]$ [3]
- subnormal if T has a normal extension
- hyponormal if $T^*T \geq TT^*$, which equivalent to the condition $\|T^*x\| \leq \|Tx\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ [15]
- M-hyponormal if $T^*T \geq MTT^*$, where $1 \leq M$ which equivalent to the condition $\|T^*x\| \leq M\|Tx\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ [19]
- p-hyponormal if $(T^*T)^p \leq (TT^*)^p$, where $0 < p \leq 1$ [1]
- class A if $|T|^2 \leq |T^2|$, where $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
- paranormal if $\|Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\|\|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ [4]
- k-hyponormal if $\|Tx\|^k \leq \|T^kx\|\|x\|^{k-1}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, where $k \geq 2$
- $*$-paranormal if $\|T^*x\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\|\|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ [10]
- quasi $*$-paranormal if $\|T^*Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^3x\|\|Tx\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ [12]
- log-hyponormal if T invertible and satisfies $\log(T^*T) \geq \log(TT^*)$ [16]
- p-quasihyponormal if $T^*[(T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p]T \geq 0$, where $0 < p \leq 1$ [2]
- normoloid if $\|T\| = r(T)$
- quasinilpotent if $r(T) = 0$, where $r(T) = \lim \|T^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$

We can notice that T is hyponormal if T is p-hyponormal with $p = 1$ and that p-hyponormal is q-hyponormal for every $0 < q \leq p$ from Löwner-Heinz inquality [13]. Also we can notice that T is paranormal if T is k-hyponormal with $k = 2$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A16, 47D06, 47D03.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert space. λ-commuting operators. Hyponormal operators. Isometry. Quasi $*$-paranormal operators.

It known that invertible p-hyponormal is log-hyponormal, we may regard log-hyponormal operator as 0-hyponormal [16].

It is well known that for any operators A, B and C, $A^*A - 2\lambda B^*B + \lambda^2 C^*C \geq 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$, if and only if $||Bx||^2 \leq ||Ax||||Cx||$ for all $x \in H$. Thus we have

- T is quasi $*$-paranormal if and only if $T^*[(T^*)^2T^2 - 2\lambda TT^* + \lambda^2]T \geq 0$, for all $\lambda > 0$.
- T is $*$-paranormal if and only if $(T^*)^2T^2 - 2\lambda TT^* + \lambda^2 \geq 0$, for all $\lambda > 0$.

Thus every $*$-paranormal is quasi $*$-paranormal and we have the following implications:

quasinormal $\Longrightarrow$ binormal

self-adjoint $\Longrightarrow$ normal $\Longrightarrow$ quasinormal $\Longrightarrow$ subnormal $\Longrightarrow$ hyponormal $\Longrightarrow$ $*$-paranormal $\Longrightarrow$ quasi $*$-paranormal

hyponormal $\Longrightarrow$ p-hyponormal $\Longrightarrow$ p-quasihyponormal $\Longrightarrow$ class A $\Longrightarrow$ paranormal

invertible p-hyponormal $\Longrightarrow$ log-hponormal $\Longrightarrow$ paranormal.

For a scalar λ, two operators A and B in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are said be λ-commute if $AB = \lambda BA$. Recently many authors have studied this equation for several classes of operators, for example

- In [11] authors have proved that if an operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ λ-commutes with a compact operator, then this operator has a non-trivial hyperinvariant subspace
- In [8] Conway and Prajitura characterized the closure and the interior of the set of operators that λ-commute with a compact operator
- In [18] Zhang ,Ohawada and Cho have studied the properties of operators that λ-commute with a paranormal operator
- In [5] Brooke, Busch and Pearson showed that if AB is not quasinilpotent, then $|\lambda| = 1$, and if A or B is self-adjoint then $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$
- In [17] Yang and Du gave a simple proofs and generalizations of this results, particulary if AB is bounded below if and only if both A and B are bounded below
- In [14] Schmoeger generalized this results to hermitian or normal elements of a complex Banach algebra
- In [6] Cho, Duggal, Harte and Ota generalized some Schmoeger's results and they gave the new characterization of a commutativity of Banach space operators.

The aim of this paper is to study the situation for binormal, M-hyponormal, quasi $*$-paranormal operators. Again other related results are also given.

We denote the range and the kernel of T by $R(T)$ and $N(T)$ respectively.

2. Main results

We begin with the following result.

Lemma 2.1 (12). *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be quasi $*$-paranormal and M be a closed T-invariant subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. Then $T_{|M}$ is also quasi $*$-paranormal.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be quasi $*$-paranormal operator. If A is quasinilpotent, then $A = 0$*

Proof: Suppose that $A \neq 0$, we have for any operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\|T^*T\| = \|TT^*\| = \|T\|^2 = \|T^*\|^2$. Firstly, by induction we prove that A is normaloid.

For $n = 3$, since $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is quasi $*$-paranormal, then $\|A\|^4 = [\|A\|^2]^2 = \|A^*A\|^2 \leq \|A^3\|\|A\|$, whence
$\|A\|^3 \leq \|A^3\| \leq \|A\|^3$. From where $\|A^3\| = \|A\|^3$.
It is now assumed for an integer $n \geq 3$ and any integer k such that $3 \leq k \leq n$, we have $\|A^k\| = \|A\|^k$. we know that $\|A^{n+1}\| \leq \|A\|^{n+1}$, other hand We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\|A\|^{n-1}]^4 &= [\|A^{n-1}\|^2]^2 \\
&= [\sup_{\|x\|=1} < A^{n-1}x, A^{n-1}x >]^2 \\
&= [\sup_{\|x\|=1} < A^*A[A^{n-2}x], A^{n-2}x >]^2 \\
&\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} [\|A^*A[A^{n-2}x]\|]^2[\|A^{n-2}x\|]^2 \\
&\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} [\|A^3[A^{n-2}x]\|\|A[A^{n-2}x]\|[\|A^{n-2}x\|]^2] \\
&\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} [\|A^{n+1}x]\|\|A^{n-1}x\|[\|A^{n-2}x\|]^2] \\
&\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} [\|A^{n+1}x]\| \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|A^{n-1}x\| \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|A^{n-2}x\|^2] \\
&\leq \|A^{n+1}\|\|A^{n-1}\|\|A^{n-2}\|^2 \\
&\leq \|A^{n+1}\|\|A\|^{n-1}\|A\|^{2(n-2)}
\end{aligned}$$

whence $\|A^{n+1}\| \geq \|A\|^{4(n-1)-(n-1)-2(n-2)} = \|A\|^{n+1}$, thus $\|A^{n+1}\| = \|A\|^{n+1}$.
Since A is normaloid we have $r(A) = \|A\|$.
But A is quasinilpotent, then $r(A) = 0$, therefore $\|A\| = 0$ and this is contradiction.

Corollairy 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be $*$-paranormal operator. If A is quasinilpotent, then $A = 0$*

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfy $AB = \lambda BA \neq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let A be hyponormal and B be quasi $*$-paranormal. If A is invertible or 0 is an isolated point of $\sigma(A)$, then $|\lambda| = 1$.*

Proof: It is clear that if A is invertible then $|\lambda| = 1$.
Suppose that 0 is isolated point of $\sigma(A)$. Since $\mathcal{H} = \overline{R(A^*)} \oplus N(A)$ we can decompose $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ A_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ on $\overline{R(A^*)} \oplus N(A)$.

Since A is hyponormal

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1^*A_1 + A_2^*A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = A^*A \geq AA^* = \begin{pmatrix} A_1A_1^* & A_1A_2^* \\ A_2A_1^* & A_2A_2^* \end{pmatrix}$$

it holds $A_2A_2^* \leq 0$ and hence $A_2 = 0$, we have

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

Consequently $\sigma(A) = \sigma(A_1) \cup \{0\}$.
We conclude that if A_1 is not invertible then 0 is isolated point of $\sigma(A_1)$ and since A_1 is hyponormal, then $0 \in \sigma_p(A_1)$, a contradiction and hence A_1 is invertible.
Let $B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ B_3 & B_4 \end{pmatrix}$ on $\overline{R(A^*)} \oplus N(A)$. By $\lambda \neq 0$ and $AB = \lambda BA$, it holds $A_1B_2 = B_3A_1 = 0$. Since A_1 is invertible, we have $B_2 = B_3 = 0$. Hence,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore $\overline{R(A^*)}$ is invariant for B and hence $B_1 = B_{|\overline{R(A^*)}}$ is quasi $*$-paranormal by lemma 2.1. Since

$$\begin{aligned} AB &= \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A_1B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \lambda BA \\ &= \lambda \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_4 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \lambda \begin{pmatrix} B_1A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Whence $A_1B_1 = \lambda B_1A_1$, then $B_1 = \lambda A_1^{-1}B_1A_1$.
If $r(B_1) = 0$ then B_1 is quasi $*$-paranormal and quasinilpotent, then $B_1 = 0$ by lemma 2.2, therefore AB=0 and it's a contradiction because $AB \neq 0$. From where $r(B_1) \neq 0$ and consequently $|\lambda| = 1$.

Corollairy 2.2. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfy $AB = \lambda BA \neq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let A be hyponormal and B be $*$-paranormal operator. If A is invertible or 0 is an isolated point of $\sigma(A)$, then $|\lambda| = 1$.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be quasinormal operator and B normal such that $AB = \lambda BA \neq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. If $|\lambda| = 1$ then AB is quasinormal operator.*

Proof: Assume that $AB = \lambda BA \neq 0$, then $B^*A^* = \bar{\lambda}A^*B^*$. Since B and λB are normal operators by Fuglede-Putnam theorem, condition $AB = \lambda BA$ imply

that $BA^* = \lambda A^*B$ and $AB^* = \bar{\lambda}B^*A$. Now have

$$\begin{aligned} AB[(AB)^*AB] &= [AB][B^*A^*AB] \\ &= [\lambda BA]B^*A^*AB \\ &= \lambda B[AB^*]A^*AB \\ &= \lambda B[\bar{\lambda}B^*A]A^*AB \\ &= |\lambda|^2[BB^*][AA^*A]B \\ &= [B^*B][A^*AA]B \\ &= B^*[BA^*]AAB \\ &= B^*[\lambda A^*B]AAB \\ &= B^*A^*[\lambda BA]AB \\ &= B^*A^*[AB]AB \\ &= [(AB)^*AB]AB \end{aligned}$$

Therefore AB is quasinormal.

Theorem 2.3. *Let A be binormal operator and B normal such that $AB = \lambda BA \neq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ if $|\lambda| = 1$ then AB is binormal operator.*

Proof: Because B and λB are normal operators by Fuglede-Putnam theorem, condition $AB = \lambda BA$ imply that $BA^* = \lambda A^*B$ and $AB^* = \bar{\lambda}B^*A$. Therfore

$$\begin{aligned} AB(AB)^*(AB)^*AB &= A[BB^*]A^*B^*A^*AB \\ &= A[B^*B]A^*B^*A^*AB \\ &= [AB^*]BA^*[B^*A^*]AB \\ &= [\bar{\lambda}B^*A]BA^*[\bar{\lambda}A^*B^*]AB \\ &= (\bar{\lambda})^2B^*[AB]A^*A^*[B^*A]B \\ &= (\bar{\lambda})^2B^*[\lambda BA]A^*A^*[\frac{1}{\lambda}AB^*]B \\ &= |\lambda|^2B^*B[AA^*A^*A]B^*B \\ &= B^*B[A^*AAA^*]B^*B \\ &= B^*[BA^*]AA[A^*B^*]B \\ &= B^*[\lambda A^*B]AA[\frac{1}{\lambda}B^*A^*]B \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\bar{\lambda}}B^*A^*[BA]AB^*[A^*B] \\ &= \lambda^2B^*A^*[\frac{1}{\lambda}AB]AB^*[\frac{1}{\lambda}BA^*] \\ &= B^*A^*ABA[B^*B]A^* \\ &= B^*A^*ABA[BB^*]A^* \\ &= (AB)^*ABAB(AB)^* \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that AB is binormal

Theorem 2.4. *Let A be k-hyponormal and B isometry such that $AB = \lambda BA \neq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. The following statements are equivalent*

(1) *AB is k-hyponormal*
(2) $\sigma(AB) \neq \{0\}$
(3) $|\lambda| = 1$

Proof: It is clear (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). So we show (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). For any unit vector $x \in H$ scince A is k-hyponormal and B is isometry then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(AB)x\|^k &= \|A(Bx)\|^k \\ &\leq \|A^k(Bx)\|\|Bx\|^{k-1} \\ &\leq \|A^k(Bx)\| \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand
By induction we show that $(AB)^k = \lambda^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} B^{k-1}A^kB$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$.
For $k = 1$, $(AB)^1 = \lambda^{\frac{1(1-1)}{2}} B^{1-1}A^1B$. Assume that it holds for $k \geq 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)^{k+1} = AB(AB)^k &= (\lambda BA)(\lambda^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} B^{k-1}A^kB) \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+1} BAB^{k-1}A^kB \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+1} B(AB)B^{k-2}A^kB \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+1} B(\lambda BA)B^{k-2}A^kB \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+2} B^2AB^{k-2}A^kB \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}+k} B^kAB^{k-k}A^kB \\ &= \lambda^{\frac{(k+1)k}{2}} B^kA^{k+1}B \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $(AB)^k = \lambda^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} B^{k-1}A^kB$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$.
Because B is isometry and $|\lambda| = 1$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(AB)^k\| &= \|\lambda^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} B^{k-1}A^kBx\| \\ &= |\lambda|^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \|B^{k-1}A^kBx\| \\ &= \|A^kBx\| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it holds $\|(AB)x\|^k \leq \|(AB)^kx\|$ for any unit vector x and AB is k-hyponormal, which completes the proof.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $AB = \lambda BA \neq 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

(1) *if A^* is M_1-hyponormal and B is M_2-hyponormal, then $|\lambda| \leq (M_1M_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$*
(2) *if A is M_1-hyponormal and B^* is M_2-hyponormal, then $|\lambda| \geq (M_1M_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$*

Proof:

(1) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\lambda|\|BA\| &= \|\lambda BA\| \\
&= \|AB\| \\
&= \|B^*A^*AB\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\|T\| = \|TT^*\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq M_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\|B^*AA^*B\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ (A^* \text{ is } M_1 - \text{hyponormal} : \ A^*A \leq M_1AA^*) \\
&\leq M_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\|A^*B\| \ (\|T^*T\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|T\|) \\
&\leq M_1^{\frac{1}{2}}\|A^*BB^*A\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\|T\| = \|TT^*\|^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\
&\leq (M_1M_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|A^*B^*BA\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ (B \text{ is } M_2 - \text{hyponormal} : \ BB^* \leq M_2B^*B) \\
&\leq (M_1M_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|BA\| \quad (\|T^*T\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|T\|).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $|\lambda|\|BA\| \leq (M_1M_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|BA\|$ and $|\lambda| \leq (M_1M_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

(2) Since $AB = \lambda BA$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ we have $BA = \lambda^{-1}AB$ and apply (1) we obtain $|\lambda^{-1}| \leq (M_2M_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, therefore $|\lambda| \geq (M_2M_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Corollairy 2.3. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $AB = \lambda BA \neq 0, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

(1) *if A^* and B are hyponormal, then $|\lambda| \leq 1$*
(2) *if A and B^* are hyponormal, then $|\lambda| \geq 1$*

Theorem 2.6. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $AB = \lambda BA \neq 0, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then if A^* is M_1-hyponormal and B is M_2-hyponormal then A^*B and BA^* are $M_1M_2|\lambda|^2$-hyponormal*

Proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(A^*B)^*A^*B &= B^*AA^*B \\
&\geq M_1B^*A^*AB \\
&\geq M_1\bar{\lambda}A^*B^*\lambda BA \\
&\geq M_1|\lambda|^2A^*B^*BA \\
&\geq M_1|\lambda|^2A^*M_2BB^*A \\
&\geq M_1M_2|\lambda|^2(B^*A)^*B^*A
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore A^*B is $M_1M_2|\lambda|^2$-hyponormal.

Same way we prove that BA^* is $M_1M_2|\lambda|^2$-hyponormal.

References

[1] A. Aluthge, *On p-hyponormal operators for $0 < p < 1$,* Integr.Equat.Oper.Th. 13(1990), 307-315.

[2] S.C.Arora, P.Arora, *On p-quasihyponormal operators for $0 < p < 1$,* Yokohama.Math.J. 41(1993), 25-29.

[3] Aruna Bala, *Binormal operators.* Indian J.Pure and applied Math 8(1977), 68-77.

[4] N.L.Braha, M.Lohaj, F.H. Marevci, Sh.Lohaj, *Some properties of paranormal and hyponormal operators,* Bull Math Anal Appl 2(2009), 23-35

[5] J.A. Brooke, P. Busch, D.B. Pearson, *Commutativity up to a factor of bounded operators in complex Hilbert space,* R. Soc.Lond.Proc.Ser.A Math.Phys.Eng.Sci. A458 (2002), 109-118.
[6] M. Cho, B. Duggal, R.E. Harte, Ota, *Operator equation $AB = \lambda BA$,* International Math. Forum, 5(2010), 2629-2637.
[7] M.Cho, J.I.Lee, T.Yamazaki, *On the operator equation $AB = \lambda BA$,* Scientiae.Math.Jap Online e (2009), 49-55.
[8] J.B. Conway, Gabriel Prajitura, *On λ-commuting operator,* Studia Mathematica 166 (1) (2005).
[9] T.Furuta, *Invitation to linear operators,* Taylor Francis, London and New York (2001).
[10] Y.M.Han, A.H.Kim, *A not on $*$-paranormal operators,* Integr.Equat.Oper.Theory. 49 (4).(2004), 435-444
[11] V. Lauric, *Operators α-commuting with a compact operator,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.125(1997), 2379-2384.
[12] S.Mecheri, *On quasi $*$-paranormal operators,* Ann. Funct.Anal. (3)2012, no.1, 86-91
[13] K.Rasimi,A.Ibraimi,L.Gjoka, *Notes on λ-commuting operators,* IJPMA. 91-2 (2014), 191-196.
[14] C. Schmoeger, *Commutativity up to a factor in Banach algebras,* Demonst. Math.38(2005) 895-900.
[15] J.G.Stampfli, *Hyponormal operator and spectral density,* Sci-Sinica. 23 (1980), 700-713.
[16] K. Tanahashi, *On log-hyponormal operators,* Integr.Equat.Oper.Theory. 34(1999), 364-372.
[17] J. Yang, H.-K. Du, *A note on commutativity up to a factor of bounded operators,* Proc.Amer. Math. Soc. 132(2004), 1713-1720.
[18] L. Zhang, T. Ohwada, M. Cho, *On λ-Commuting Operators,* International Mathematical Forum. Vol.6, 2011, no. 34, 1685 - 1690.
[19] B.L. Wadhwa, *M-hyponormal operators,* Duke Math.J. 41(1974), 655-660.

A. Tajmouati and M.B. Mohamed Ahmed
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah Univeristy Faculty of Sciences Dhar Al Mahraz Fez, Morocco.
E-mail address: abdelaziztajmouati@yahoo.fr
E-mail address: bbaba2012@gmail.com

A. El Bakkali
Department of Mathematics University Chouaib Doukkali, Faculty of Sciences, Eljadida. 24000, Eljadida, Morocco.
E-mail address: aba0101q@yahoo.fr